

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/553,422	Applicant(s) FUKAZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL C. LAI	Art Unit 2157	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) MICHAEL C. LAI. (3) _____.
(2) Keith J. Townsend. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2008 **Time:** 2pm

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
10-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to replace the phrase "load distribution device" with "load balancer" in claim 10, lines 2, 5, and 10. Claims 10-12 are allowable after the amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)